

Minutes of the 127th meeting of Executive Committee of Science Faculty Council held at 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, March 23, 1999 in Room 250 Allen Building.

Present: J.C. Jamieson (Chair) G. Robinson
R Bochonko A. Secco
N. Chow A. Thavaneswaran (replaces K. Keen)
D. Court J. van Rees
A. Gerhard H. Williams
D. Gillis G. Woods (for J. Williams)
C. Kazina

Regrets/Absent: B. Ford Visitors: J. McConnell
B. Lindsay H. Paterson
J. Williams

1. The Minutes of the 126th meeting of Executive Committee dated October 26, 1998 were **approved**.
2. Course Changes were **approved**.
3. Graduate Course and Program Changes were provided for information only.
4. Dean's Report

Dean Jamieson reported on the following:

- a) He commented on his report to the President on the 11 items in the Task Force which were directed to Science.
 - i) 58a: An alternative approach is proposed to the amalgamation of the departments of Botany, Zoology and Microbiology. The message he has gotten from the President was that he should proceed with the alternate approach.
 - ii) 58b: Mathematics/Applied Mathematics amalgamated
 - iii) 58c: Free-standing Department of Statistics; linkages are being formed
 - iv) 58d: The renaming of Geological Sciences is awaiting the results of the Environmental Sciences Program review.
 - v) 58e: Co-ordination of ESP to continue from the Dean's Office
 - vi) 58f: The Review of the ESP is progressing
 - vii) 56b: Harmonising regulations between Arts and Science was completed.
 - viii) 48e: Computer Music program has been discussed but Computer Science has insufficient staff to mount the program at this time.
 - ix) 38a: The development of an innovative and challenging undergraduate program in Arts and Science; Arts Faculty Council adjourned before this item was discussed; so did not get off the ground. This is different from the joint BA, BSc program.
 - x) 38b: Development of a program of study leading to the General BA/BSc degree. Science Faculty Council approved; but Arts Faculty Council has not as their meeting adjourned before getting to this item on the agenda.
 - xi) A Committee of Co-operation (Arts and Science) has been constituted and has had the initial meeting.

was put the initial meeting

- (1) A Committee of Co-ordination (Arts and Sciences) has been constituted and not as their meeting adjourned before getting to this item on the agenda.
- (2) 38p Development of a program of study leading to the General BV BSc the joint BV BSc program item was discussed, so did not get off the ground. This is different from program in Arts and Sciences. Arts Faculty Council adjourned before this.
- (3) 38a: The development of an innovative and challenging undergraduate has insufficient staff to mount the program at this time.
- (4) 48c Computer Music program has been discussed but Computer Science.
- (5) 20p: Harmonizing regulations between Arts and Science was completed.
- (6) 28f: The Review of the E2P is progressing.
- (7) 28g: Co-ordination of E2P to continue from the Dean's Office.
- (8) Environmental Sciences Program review.
- (9) 28d: The remaining of Geological Sciences is awaiting the results of the
- (10) 22c: Free-standing Department of Statistics: packages are being formed
- (11) 28p: Mathematics/Applied Mathematics amalgamated

D:

1:

which were directed to Science.

(3) He commented on his report to the President on the 11 items in the Day Force Dean Johnson reported on the following.

1 Dean's Report

2 Ordinance Course and Program Changes were provided for information only.

3 Course Changes were approved

approved

4 The Minutes of the 150th meeting of Executive Committee dated October 20, 1988 were

Present:
 T. Williams
 B. Lindsay
 B. Ford

Visitors:
 H. Paterson
 T. McConnell

Present:
 C. Kazma
 D. Smith
 A. Oshard
 D. Court
 W. Crow
 R. Boschorke
 J.C. Jamieson (Chair)

C. Woods (for T. Williams)
 H. Williams
 J. van Kester
 A. Duvall (replaces K. Kest)
 A. Russo
 C. Robinson

held Tuesday, March 23, 1988 in Room 220 Allen Building

Minutes of the 151st meeting of Executive Committee of Science Faculty Council held at 3:00

- b) Budget: The Dean showed transparencies with projections for 1999/2000 based on cuts of 3.2% and 5%.

The Vice-President (Administration) has set a 95% interim budget.

- c) Three Headship searches are underway in Botany, Chemistry and Statistics.

5. Biological Sciences Restructuring

The Dean indicated that several letters had been sent to the President from biology department members opposed to the amalgamation of the biology departments.

The Dean indicated that there are two recommendations in the material presented to the Executive Committee. Two meetings of the departments involved in biology were held and the approach presented was overwhelmingly approved by the group. This alternative approach was submitted to the President and must now be approved in principle by Faculty Council. After approval, the Dean will set up an implementation committee.

Dr. Gillis asked whether the implementation committee would be made up from committees already existing in departments.

Dean Jamieson indicated that he would go back to the heads of the departments for advice on the membership for this committee. Dean Jamieson indicated that he would also add people from outside the biology area including a support staff person.

Dr. Gillis is generally pretty much in favour of more synergy; he had a concern with how broad this would be.

Dr. Jamieson indicated that the framework is a starting point. If problems are to be addressed, that is where he will come in.

Dr. Court indicated there was general enthusiasm for implementing a core program.

Dr. Chow asked whether the Dean could foresee any serious overlap in general biology and the environmental science program. The Dean responded that there will be some overlap; he could not see it being similar to the environmental science program.

It was M/S/C: That recommendations 1 and 2 be approved.

The recommendations were:

1. That Faculty Council approve, in principle, the formation of a "core" for the three biology departments and the programs in ecology, genetics, biotechnology and biochemistry.
2. That Faculty Council approve, in principle, the introduction of a new program in Biology, which would be jointly administered by the three biology departments.

6. Report on Research Priorities

Dean Williams introduced this agenda item, stating that there were strong signals from Central from the last CFI competition to produce a research plan.

A Research Priorities Committee was established with representation from each department. The Committee has produced a report, which was copied in part to the Executive Committee. Dean Williams indicated that the Dean must respond to Vice-President Keselman's memorandum by March 31 and asked that the Executive give this report wide circulation with comments to be sent to the Dean's Office.

Dr. Batten was in attendance to discuss the report. The Committee established its terms of reference and developed a list of questions to circulate to departments. Included in the report is a summary of the data collected and recommendations.

The committee struggled with the decision on choosing the established areas of research excellence within the Faculty and the criteria used to determine the areas. There were five recommendations made to the Dean.

Dr. Secco asked whether all Universities have a research plan. Dr. Batten responded that the committee had asked four institutions similar to ours for copies of their plans; there was nothing existing. We did not want to buy into the Alberta plan.

Dr. Williams indicated that the Administration would use the report and the areas of excellence as a promotional tool.

Dr. Woods indicated he was startled and concerned that there was no mention of Mathematics and Statistics.

Dean Jamieson indicated that Dr. Williams will be wording the letter to J. Keselman in an appropriate way so there will be no hurting of Science. There are six areas in total for the whole institution.

Dean Williams indicated that they would vigorously protect the diversity in the way we do research in Science. We will want to indicate we support good research. I would hope no area would be disadvantaged.

Dean Jamieson reported that he has put in baseline funding \$100,000 for graduate student support to top-up the \$5,000 for NSERC scholarships. We started with the top-up approach as it is a high priority. He also indicated the continuation of the Faculty support for the undergraduate summer research program.

Dean Jamieson thanked Dr. Batten for taking on this task.

Dean Williams introduced this agenda item, stating that there were strong signals from Central from the last CHI competition to produce a research plan.

A Research Priorities Committee was established with representation from each department. The Committee has produced a report, which was copied in part to the Executive Committee. Dean Williams indicated that the Dean must respond to Vice-President Keselman's memorandum by March 31 and asked that the Executive give this report wide circulation with comments to be sent to the Dean's Office.

Dr. Batten was in attendance to discuss the report. The Committee established its terms of reference and developed a list of questions to circulate to departments. Included in the report is a summary of the data collected and recommendations.

The committee struggled with the decision on choosing the established areas of research excellence within the Faculty and the criteria used to determine the areas. There were five recommendations made to the Dean.

Dr. Seco asked whether all Universities have a research plan. Dr. Batten responded that the committee had asked four institutions similar to ours for copies of their plans; there was nothing existing. We did not want to buy into the Alberta plan.

Dr. Williams indicated that the Administration would use the report and the areas of excellence as a promotional tool.

Dr. Woods indicated he was startled and concerned that there was no mention of Mathematics and Statistics.

Dean Jamieson indicated that Dr. Williams will be wording the letter to J. Keselman in an appropriate way so there will be no hitting of Science. There are six areas in total for the whole institution.

Dean Williams indicated that they would vigorously protect the diversity in the way we do research in Science. We will want to indicate we support good research. I would hope no area would be disadvantaged.

Dean Jamieson reported that he has put in baseline funding \$100,000 for graduate student support to top-up the \$2,000 for NISERC scholarships. We started with the top-up approach as it is a high priority. He also indicated the continuation of the Faculty support for the undergraduate summer research program.

Dean Jamieson thanked Dr. Batten for taking on this task.

7. Procedures for Promotion and Tenure

This item is more or less of a housekeeping nature. We tried to capture what we actually have been doing. Once it is through Faculty Council, it will become the procedures for Promotion and Tenure. The procedures should be well understood as grievances are usually on procedural grounds. The SEEQ evaluations are incorporated into the procedures which is a bit of a change. The report to the head and candidate will be a requirement now for tenure as well as promotion. (This is a provision of the new Collective Agreement.)

Colin Kazina indicated it was important for the SEEQ to be included.

Dr. Woods asked what the collective wisdom is with regard to the reliability of SEEQ as an evaluation of teaching competence.

Dean Jamieson indicated that we have not had feedback on the SEEQ. It was indicated that this might be raised at Senate.

Dr. Secco stated that his understanding was there were a variety of choices for evaluation forms; this one happened to be free.

It was M/S/C: That the procedures be approved.

8. Other Business

Dr. Bochonko informed Faculty Executive that Information Service and Technology had formed a classroom committee and had issued a report about putting new technology into the classrooms. He is concerned that the committee was made up of all IST employees; no faculty members were involved. The report seems to indicate from management that they did not want to get faculty input.

Dr. Williams stated that everyone should have seen the report. There is a meeting of the Information Committee and he will ask Will English about this. IST should have considered the end user; they don't know anything about classrooms. Dr. Williams indicated that a cabling report has come in and will be discussed at the Information Committee meeting. He suggested Richard might want to attend the meeting.

Dean Jamieson reported that he would be adding a support staff position; a communications specialist probably shared with Pharmacy.

Meeting adjourned 4:45 p.m.

This item is more or less of a housekeeping nature. We tried to capture what we actually have been doing. (Once it is through Faculty Council, it will become the procedures for Promotion and Tenure. The procedures should be well understood as advances are usually on procedural grounds. The SEEO evaluations are incorporated into the procedures which is a bit of a change. The report to the head and candidate will be a redraft of the procedures now for tenure as well as promotion. (This is a provision of the new Collective Agreement)

Colin Kazina indicated it was important for the SEEO to be included.

Dr. Woods asked what the collective wisdom is with regard to the reliability of SEEO as an evaluation of teaching competence

Dean Jamieson indicated that we have not had feedback on the SEEO. It was indicated that this might be raised at Senate.

Dr. Secco stated that his understanding was there were a variety of choices for evaluation forms; this one happened to be the.

It was noted that the procedures be approved.

8. Other Business

Dr. Bochonko informed Faculty Executive that Information Service and Technology had formed a classroom committee and had issued a report about putting new technology into the classrooms. He is concerned that the committee was made up of all IST employees; no faculty members were involved. The report seems to indicate from management that they did not want to get faculty input.

Dr. Williams stated that everyone should have seen the report. There is a meeting of the Information Committee and he will ask Will English about this. IST should have considered the end users; they don't know anything about classrooms. Dr. Williams indicated that a copy of the report has come in and will be discussed at the Information Committee meeting. He suggested Richard might want to attend the meeting.

Dean Jamieson reported that he would be adding a support staff position in communications specialist probably shared with Pharmacy.

Meeting adjourned 4:45 p.m.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA
Faculty of Science
250 Machray Hall
Office of the Dean

Inter-Departmental Correspondence

March 12, 1999.

TO: Members, Executive Committee of Science Faculty Council

FROM:

Pat Pachol, Secretary



ON:

Notice of Meeting

The 127th meeting of the Executive Committee is scheduled for Tuesday, March 23, 1999 at 3:00 p.m. in Room 250 Allen Building.

Agenda

1. Minutes of 126th meeting of Executive Committee dated October 26, 1998 (previously distributed)
2. Course Changes (attached)
3. Graduate Course and Program Changes--for information (attached)
4. Dean's Report
5. Biological Sciences Restructuring (attached)
6. Report on Research Priorities (to come): Lynn Batten
7. Promotion and Tenure Procedures (attached)
8. Other business

/pap

Att.