

P. Pachol, Secretary
Faculty Council of Science

Minutes of the 58th Meeting of the Faculty Council of Science held
Tuesday, April 19, 1988 at 3:00 p.m. in Room 207 Buller Building.

Present: C. C. Bigelow (Chair) N. E. Losey
 D. N. Burton N. Halden
 A. Turnock A. Gerhard
 J. M. Stewart M. Doob
 R. D. Connor S. Leith
 J. C. Jamieson H. W. Duckworth
 J. F. Brewster P. Loewen
 N. R. Hunter A. Secco
 H. D. Gesser A. F. Janzen
 F. E. Hruska W. Falk
 G. Klassen G. Hickling
 D. Lawless N. Gupta
 L. Graham G. Baldwin
 C. M. Wong E. S. Shiu
 L. Chan N. Kenkel
 J. Gee T. Dandy
 G. C. Tabisz T. Wiens
 P. McClure C. R. Platt
 P. Pachol (Secretary)

Regrets: G. S. Clark W. Brisbin
 G. Robinson J. Shay
 R. Thomas S. K. Sinha
 D. Singh

1. The adoption of the minutes of the 57th meeting of Faculty Council held Tuesday, November 3, 1987 was M/S/C.

2. Dean's Report

Dean Bigelow reported briefly on the student referendum results, the endowment fund pledges from Science alumni, and the success of Science in the recent NSERC competition. He mentioned in particular the awarding of a major installation grant to P. Cerny which was 1 of only 4 awarded in the country.

Dean Bigelow reported on the state of the budget; mentioning that the Deans will be continuing the argument that we are unfairly treated compared to some other faculties.

In last year's November 3rd minutes of Faculty Council the Dean listed four functions of the faculty we would want to protect and asked council members to think about this and let the Dean's office know. No comments have been received.

The following are some comments made from the floor.

Dr. Duckworth mentioned that No. 1 and 4 seem to work against one another. How will the university foster research without new positions? What policy would the faculty follow to encourage research?

Dean Bigelow stated that we would protect the shops people and support staff as best we can.

Dr. Jamieson mentioned that the priorities may be different throughout the faculty and that the list cannot be rigidly applied.

Dr. Woods asked if we should be looking at elevated enrolment standards again?

The Dean said there are no plans at the moment to do that.

3. Course Change Proposals

The course change proposals were approved by the Executive Committee and brought to Faculty Council for information. No questions were raised.

4. Faculty Elections

Senate

Five nominations have been received for the five positions to be filled on Senate (one of these positions will be a one year term). They are: D. Burton, H. W. Duckworth, J. S. C. McKee, P. N. Shivakumar, and G. Smith.

It was M/S/C that nominations for Senate be closed.

Board of Graduate Studies

One nomination has been received for nomination to the Board of Graduate Studies--J. L. Charlton.

It was M/S/C that nominations to the Board of Graduate Studies be closed.

Discussion of the Faculty Endowment Committee election was moved to follow approval of the revised terms of reference for the Endowment Fund.

5. Policy Change -- Student Standing

The following policy change with regard to student standing was M/S/C on behalf of the Executive Committee.

"Students who fail to meet the minimum C+ grade in a specified course must make up that course (or another course as approved by the department(s)) at the first opportunity; i.e., (1) in the same Regular Session if the deficiency occurs in first term or (2) in the following Summer Session or (3) in the subsequent Regular Session concurrently with the next year's program if the deficiency occurs in Year 2 or 3. Such students would be required to achieve a minimum grade of C+ in the specified course. The other continuation and graduation requirements as well as the continuation average on departmental courses must still be met."

6. Progress Report on B.Sc./B.Ed. program

Dean Burton made a few opening comments on the progress of discussions with the Faculty of Education on the B.Sc./B.Ed. program. He mentioned Dr. Sylvia Leith from Education was in attendance to help answer any questions that may arise.

Dean Bigelow stated that the progress report was being brought forward to Council by the Executive for approval in principle.

This was followed by a question and answer period.

Dr. Gerhard expressed concern that the proposed structure did not have enough flexibility or depth.

Dr. Connor congratulated the committee on getting this far with the proposal.

Dean Burton mentioned that all departments who have teachables have looked at the model proposals and have approved them in principle.

The following motion was M/S/C (one opposed):

"That the Progress Report for the B.Sc./B.Ed. program be approved in principle."

7. Faculty Endowment Committee -- Revised Terms of Reference

The Terms of Reference for the Endowment Committee have been revised due to the recent success of the Student Referendum. It seems sensible for the committee to handle the student referendum funds as well.

The following motion was moved on behalf of the Executive Committee:

"That the Revised Terms of Reference for the Faculty Endowment Committee be approved."

The following amendment to the motion was M/S/C:

"That 1(a) be changed to read: Three faculty members elected by faculty council (one from each of the three divisions: biological sciences, physical sciences, and mathematics/computer science)."

A further amendment to the main motion was M/S/C:

"That the first sentence in 1(b) be changed by adding 'and at least one of whom would be a graduate of the faculty.'

The amended motion was M/S/C.

1(a) and 1(b) will now read as follows:

1.a) Three faculty members elected by faculty council (one from each of the three divisions: biological sciences, physical sciences, and mathematics/computer science).

b) Three members appointed by the dean from among friends of the faculty, one of whom shall be a graduate student and at least one of whom shall be a graduate of the faculty. At least one of these members is not to be an employee of the university.

(Attached to these minutes is a copy of the revised terms of reference for the Faculty Endowment Committee.)

Nominations to Faculty Endowment Committee

The following members have been nominated to the Faculty Endowment Committee: N. Hunter, T. Dick, and L. Chan.

It was M/S/C that nominations close.

As there was no further business meeting adjourned 3:55 p.m.

Approved by Faculty Council Apr.19/88

Revised Terms of Reference
Endowment Committee of the Faculty of Science

1. The Endowment Committee shall consist of eight members chosen as follows:

- a) Three faculty members elected by faculty council (one from each of the three divisions: biological sciences, physical sciences, and mathematics/computer science).
- b) Three members appointed by the dean from among friends of the faculty, one of whom shall be a graduate student and at least one of whom shall be a graduate of the faculty. At least one of these members is not to be an employee of the university.
- c) The senior stick and one other undergraduate appointed by the Science Students' Association Executive.

Where possible the members shall serve for three year staggered terms.

The dean shall name the chair of the committee, and provide a secretary for the committee.

2. The Endowment Committee shall meet at least once a year, and report to the Dean of Science.
3.
 - a) The Endowment Committee shall consider applications for use of the funds and shall recommend on the funding of projects at their discretion.
 - b) The Endowment Committee shall cooperate with the Department of Private Funding in raising endowment funds for the Faculty of Science.
 - c) The Endowment Committee shall advise on the spending of Student Referendum funds.
4. Endowment funds may be used for interdepartmental projects, teaching equipment, research equipment, postdoctoral fellowships and assistantships, graduate scholarships, undergraduate scholarships, visiting lectures, visiting lecture series, short or long term visiting scientists, library acquisitions, technical staff for new programs or special research projects, special leaves, field trips, or any other use consistent with the academic goals of the Faculty of Science.
5. These guidelines are to be reviewed every five years by the Faculty Council of Science.



C. C. Bigelow
Dean of Science

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

FACULTY OF SCIENCE

239 Machray Hall
Winnipeg, Manitoba
Canada R3T 2N2

April 8, 1988.

TO: All Members of the Faculty Council of Science

FROM: P. Pachol, Secretary *P. Pachol*

The 58th meeting of the Faculty Council of Science is scheduled for Tuesday, April 19, 1988 at 3:00 p.m. in Room 207 Buller Building.

Agenda

1. Approval of the Minutes of the 57th meeting of Faculty Council November 3, 1987 (previously distributed)
2. Dean's Report
3. Course Change Proposals: These are approved by the Executive Committee and forwarded to Faculty Council for information. Material has been made available to Department offices for perusal by members. Questions may be raised from the floor.
4. Faculty Elections (attached)
5. Policy Change -- Student Standing

"Students who fail to meet the minimum C+ grade in a specified course must make up that course (or another course as approved by the department(s)) at the first opportunity; i.e., (1) in the same Regular Session if the deficiency occurs in first term or (2) in the following Summer Session or (3) in the subsequent Regular Session concurrently with the next year's program if the deficiency occurs in Year 2 or 3. Such students would be required to achieve a minimum grade of C+ in the specified course. The other continuation and graduation requirements as well as the continuation average on departmental courses must still be met."

6. Progress Report on B.Sc./B.Ed. program (attached)
7. Faculty Endowment Committee -- Revised Terms of Reference (attached)
8. Other business